## **Modular Demand-Driven Analysis of Semantic Difference for Program Versions** Anna Trostanetski, Orna Grumberg and Daniel Kroening

Computer Science Department, Technion

Programs often change and evolve, raising the following interesting question: how the behavior of the program change?



We work bottom up from the syntactically changed procedures towards the main procedures, using the summaries.

 $\neq$ 

call  $p_1$ 

Summary of  $p_1$ 

 $g_1$ 

....But what if we didn't cover all paths? We use individual and common uninterpreted functions as abstraction.

call graph:





## Changes are small, programs are big

## Can our work be O(change) instead of **O(program)**?



## Symbolic Execution and Finite Paths:

